

## Cultural Dimensions and Ethical Decision-Making: A Case Study of Multinational Corporations Operating in Indonesia

Iwan Koerniawan\*<sup>1</sup>, Sulartopo<sup>1</sup>, Wesly Tumbur Ml Tobing<sup>1</sup>, Miftahurrohman<sup>1</sup>

Email: [iwan@stekom.ac.id](mailto:iwan@stekom.ac.id), [sulartopo@stekom.ac.id](mailto:sulartopo@stekom.ac.id), [wesly@stekom.ac.id](mailto:wesly@stekom.ac.id), [miftah@stekom.ac.id](mailto:miftah@stekom.ac.id)

<sup>1</sup>Universitas Sains dan Teknologi Komputer, Kota Semarang.

\*Corresponding Author

### Abstract

*Multinational corporations (MNCs) face significant ethical challenges when operating across diverse cultural environments, where differing norms and values can create conflicts in decision-making processes. This study examines how cultural dimensions, such as power distance and collectivism, influence ethical decision-making within MNCs, with a specific focus on operations in Indonesia. Using a qualitative approach, the research integrates thematic analysis of case studies and interviews with corporate managers. Data were also triangulated with organizational documents and industry reports. The findings reveal that high power-distance cultures, such as Indonesia, favor centralized decision-making, with ethical dilemmas typically resolved by senior leadership. While this hierarchical approach ensures efficiency, it often compromises transparency and accountability. Conversely, the collectivist orientation emphasizes group consensus and community engagement, fostering inclusivity but occasionally delaying resolution. For instance, case studies on bribery, environmental violations, and gender equality initiatives demonstrate the critical role of cultural adaptation in achieving ethical outcomes. Notably, participatory approaches involving local stakeholders improved trust and alignment with community expectations, enhancing reputational outcomes by 20% compared to centralized strategies. The study concludes that effective ethical frameworks for MNCs must balance global standards with cultural sensitivities. Recommendations include the adoption of culturally adaptive ethical policies, inclusive leadership training, and robust stakeholder engagement strategies. These findings offer valuable insights for MNCs aiming to navigate ethical complexities in culturally heterogeneous environments, contributing to both theoretical and practical advancements in global business ethics.*

**Keywords:** *Ethical Decision-Making, Cultural Dimensions, Multinational Corporations (MNCs), Power Distance and Collectivism, Business Ethics.*

## I. INTRODUCTION

Ethical decision-making is a cornerstone of sustainable business operations, particularly for multinational corporations (MNCs) operating in diverse cultural environments (Ullah et al., 2021 & Sarma et al., 2024). In an increasingly globalized world, businesses face mounting pressure to navigate complex ethical dilemmas that arise from differing cultural norms and values. For instance, actions deemed ethical in one cultural context may be perceived as unethical in another, creating a significant challenge for MNCs aiming to maintain consistent ethical standards while respecting local customs (Pless et al., 2022 & Zhang et al., 2023).

The importance of ethics in international business is underscored by recent high-profile cases of ethical lapses in MNCs, which have led to reputational damage, financial penalties, and strained stakeholder relationships (Lartey et al., 2021 & Brammer et al., 2021). Such cases highlight the critical need for a deeper understanding of how cultural values influence ethical decision-making

processes in MNCs (Cheng et al., 2022 & Bani Ahmad, 2024). Despite extensive research on business ethics and cultural dimensions, there remains a lack of comprehensive comparative studies that specifically examine how cultural values shape ethical decision-making in MNCs operating across diverse regions (Martínez et al., 2021 & DeTienne et al., 2021).

Empirical studies indicate that cultural differences significantly affect organizational behavior, including ethical decision-making (Alkhadra et al., 2023) & (Yan et al., 2021). Hofstede's cultural dimensions theory, which identifies aspects such as individualism versus collectivism and power distance, provides a foundational framework for understanding these differences (Xing & Jin, 2023). However, there is limited empirical data on how these cultural dimensions translate into ethical decision-making within MNCs. For example, MNCs operating in high power-distance cultures may experience different ethical challenges compared to those in low power-distance cultures, particularly in areas such as decision transparency and accountability (Peng et al., 2024) & (Yahiaoui et al., 2021).

Additionally, many MNCs rely on universal codes of ethics to guide their operations, which may not adequately address the nuanced ethical dilemmas that arise in culturally diverse settings (Islam et al., 2021). Recent surveys of global executives reveal a growing demand for culturally adaptive ethical guidelines that align with local values while upholding corporate integrity (Babri et al., 2021) & (Böhm et al., 2022). These findings highlight a critical gap in existing research and practice, necessitating a comparative approach to understanding ethical decision-making across cultures (Rodgers et al., 2023).

While prior research has explored the general influence of cultural values on organizational behavior, few studies have specifically focused on their impact on ethical decision-making in MNCs (Houdek, 2023). Moreover, existing studies often lack a comparative lens, limiting their ability to provide actionable insights for MNCs operating in culturally heterogeneous environments (Zhao et al., 2022). This research seeks to address this gap by conducting a comparative analysis of how cultural values influence ethical decision-making in MNCs, thereby offering a more nuanced understanding of this complex interplay (Osobajo et al., 2023).

This study aims to examine the influence of cultural values on ethical decision-making processes in MNCs, compare ethical decision-making approaches across MNCs operating in distinct cultural contexts, and provide actionable recommendations for MNCs to integrate cultural sensitivity into their ethical frameworks.

The findings of this research will contribute to both theory and practice. Theoretically, the study will enhance the understanding of the interplay between cultural values and ethical decision-making, bridging gaps in existing literature. Practically, the study will provide MNCs with

evidence-based strategies to develop culturally adaptive ethical policies, fostering ethical consistency and cultural sensitivity in global operations. By addressing a pressing need in today's global business landscape, this research aspires to guide MNCs toward more ethical and culturally aware practices.

## **II. LITERATURE REVIEW**

### *A. Theoretical Foundation*

Ethical decision-making has been explored through various theoretical lenses, including deontological ethics, utilitarianism, and relativism (Chan et al., 2022) & (Rhim et al., 2021). These theories provide a framework for understanding the moral reasoning processes underlying business decisions. Deontology emphasizes adherence to universal ethical principles, while utilitarianism focuses on maximizing overall benefits. Relativism, on the other hand, highlights the importance of cultural and contextual factors in shaping ethical norms (Smith et al., 2023).

Deontological ethics has been particularly relevant in addressing the challenges faced by MNCs operating across borders. This approach provides a universalistic framework that prioritizes the application of ethical principles regardless of cultural differences (Jedličková, 2024). On the other hand, utilitarian approaches are often more flexible, allowing businesses to adapt to local contexts by evaluating the consequences of their actions (Busch et al., 2024). Relativism challenges the assumption of universal ethics by arguing that moral judgments are deeply embedded in cultural contexts, thus requiring organizations to develop culturally sensitive ethical frameworks (Amundsen & Msoroka, 2021).

Hofstede's cultural dimensions theory has been instrumental in explaining cultural differences in organizational behavior, including ethical decision-making. Dimensions such as power distance, individualism versus collectivism, and uncertainty avoidance provide insights into how cultural values influence decision-making processes (Du et al., 2024 & Kapoor et al., 2021). For example, high power-distance cultures may exhibit centralized decision-making, whereas low power-distance cultures may encourage participatory approaches (Or & Berkovich, 2021). The dimension of individualism versus collectivism highlights how personal versus group interests can shape ethical considerations within organizations (Ahmad et al., 2022).

### *B. Existing Research*

Numerous studies have examined the intersection of culture and ethics in business. Al Halbusi et al. (2021) found that cultural values significantly influence individuals' ethical judgments, while Hong et al. (2021) developed a general theory of marketing ethics that incorporates cultural

dimensions. Zanon et al. (2021) explored the role of organizational culture in ethical decision-making, highlighting the interplay between individual and contextual factors.

Abdelmoety et al. (2022) conducted cross-cultural studies on business ethics, revealing significant variations in ethical practices across regions. These variations underscore the importance of context-specific strategies in addressing ethical dilemmas (Naamati-Schneider et al., 2024). Di Santo et al. (2021) extended this work by investigating the role of cultural tightness and looseness in shaping ethical behavior. They concluded that tight cultures, characterized by strong norms and low tolerance for deviance, tend to enforce ethical compliance more strictly compared to loose cultures, which are more permissive.

Rajagopal et al. (2022) provided a comparative analysis of how cultural values influence managerial decision-making, emphasizing the need for culturally adaptive strategies. Their work illustrates the importance of reconciling cultural differences to create cohesive ethical guidelines for MNCs. Mökander et al. (2021) integrative social contracts theory offers a framework for balancing global ethical standards with local cultural norms, ensuring both consistency and cultural relevance in ethical decision-making.

### *C. GAP Analysis*

While these studies offer valuable insights, there is limited research focusing on ethical decision-making in MNCs operating across culturally diverse environments. Existing literature often examines ethics within single cultural contexts, failing to address the complexities faced by MNCs in navigating ethical dilemmas globally (Daradkeh, 2023). Furthermore, empirical studies comparing ethical practices across cultures remain scarce (Zugravu-Soilita et al., 2021) & (Arsawan et al., 2022). The absence of comparative research limits the ability of MNCs to develop effective cross-cultural ethical policies. For example, while Hofstede's dimensions provide theoretical insights, there is a need for empirical validation of how these dimensions specifically affect ethical decision-making processes in MNCs (J. Cheng et al., 2022). Additionally, most studies fail to account for the dynamic nature of cultural values, which can evolve over time due to globalization and technological advancements (Skare & Riberio Soriano, 2021).

## **III. RESEARCH METHOD**

### *A. Research Design*

This study employs a qualitative comparative research design to explore how cultural values influence ethical decision-making in multinational corporations (MNCs). A qualitative approach is particularly suitable for understanding complex social phenomena, as it allows for in-depth exploration of the interplay between cultural dimensions and ethical decision-making processes.

By comparing multiple cases, this study seeks to identify recurring patterns and contextual differences, thereby providing a nuanced understanding of the phenomena under investigation.

#### *B. Data Sources*

Primary data will be collected through in-depth interviews with managers and employees from selected MNCs. These interviews will focus on participants' experiences and perspectives regarding ethical dilemmas in culturally diverse contexts. A semi-structured interview format will be employed to ensure consistency across interviews while allowing for the exploration of context-specific insights. Secondary data will include organizational documents such as codes of ethics, annual reports, and publicly available case studies. These sources will provide additional context and help triangulate findings from the primary data. Academic publications and industry reports on ethical practices in MNCs will also be reviewed to support the analysis.

#### *C. Research Procedures*

The study will focus on MNCs operating in at least two countries with distinct cultural profiles, as defined by Hofstede's cultural dimensions. Companies will be selected to represent a range of industries to ensure broader applicability of the findings. Purposive sampling will be used to identify participants with relevant experience in ethical decision-making.

#### *D. Data Collection Methods*

Data collection will involve semi-structured interviews conducted either in person or online, depending on participants' availability. Where feasible, participant observations will be conducted to provide additional insights into organizational practices. Interview questions will cover topics such as ethical challenges, decision-making processes, and the influence of cultural values on organizational behavior.

#### *E. Data Analysis*

Collected data will be analyzed using thematic analysis, which involves identifying and interpreting recurring themes within qualitative data. Coding will be guided by theoretical frameworks such as Hofstede's cultural dimensions and existing models of ethical decision-making. Comparative analysis will be employed to contrast findings across cultural contexts, highlighting both commonalities and differences.

#### *F. Ethical Considerations*

This research will adhere to ethical standards in data collection and analysis. Participants will provide informed consent, and their confidentiality will be protected throughout the study. Organizational identities will be anonymized where necessary to safeguard sensitive information.

The study will also consider cultural sensitivities, ensuring respectful engagement with all participants

#### IV. RESULT/FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

The findings of this study reveal notable patterns in ethical decision-making processes in the context of Indonesia, a high power-distance and collectivist culture. The primary themes identified include the influence of power distance, collectivism, and organizational dynamics on ethical practices. These differences highlight the significant role of cultural dimensions in shaping decision-making strategies.

##### A. High Power-Distance Culture

Ethical decision-making in Indonesia was predominantly centralized, with decisions made by senior leadership. Employees exhibited minimal involvement in decision-making and viewed ethical challenges as directives to be followed rather than opportunities for personal judgment. This top-down approach reflects cultural norms that prioritize authority and hierarchy.

##### B. Collectivist Orientation

Ethical decisions in Indonesia focused on group consensus, with an emphasis on preserving harmony and avoiding actions that could disrupt social cohesion. While this approach enhanced group solidarity, it sometimes delayed resolutions due to extensive discussions.

Su

Theme	Findings	Implications
Power-Distance	Decision-making centralized with minimal employee involvement. Ethical issues addressed as top-down directives.	Effective for consistency but may undermine transparency and inclusivity.
Collectivism	Group consensus prioritized to preserve harmony, often leading to delayed resolutions.	Enhances social cohesion but may hinder timely decision-making in urgent situations.
Community Engagement	Active involvement of community leaders improves trust and credibility.	Crucial for navigating ethical dilemmas in collectivist cultures and maintaining stakeholder trust.

Leadership Styles	Directive leadership dominates but increasingly incorporates inclusive practices in specific initiatives.	Adapting leadership styles to include participatory approaches can enhance organizational agility.
External Stakeholders	Collaboration with local government and community leaders ensures compliance with local norms and enhances reputation.	Balances global corporate policies with cultural expectations, fostering localized ethical alignment.

**Table 1. Summary of findings on ethical decision-making in high power-distance and collectivist cultures.**

*C. Study Case*

The findings of this study reveal notable patterns in ethical decision-making processes in the context of Indonesia, a high power-distance and collectivist culture. The primary themes identified include the influence of power distance, collectivism, and organizational dynamics on ethical practices. These differences highlight the significant role of cultural dimensions in shaping decision-making strategies.

**Case Study 1: Addressing Bribery in Indonesia**

A multinational corporation operating in Indonesia faced allegations of bribery in its local operations. Senior executives decided to address the issue internally without consulting external parties or involving employees beyond the management level. This decision reflected the hierarchical norms prevalent in high power-distance cultures, where authority is centralized, and transparency may be perceived as a threat to organizational stability.

While the internal handling of the issue minimized immediate scrutiny from external stakeholders, it raised significant concerns about transparency and long-term reputation management. Local stakeholders, including government officials and community representatives, criticized the lack of public accountability. This case underscores the challenges MNCs face in balancing hierarchical decision-making with the need for transparency in ethical dilemmas.

**Case Study 2: Environmental Violations in Indonesia**

An environmental violation in an Indonesian subsidiary was addressed through engagement with local government officials and community leaders. Unlike the centralized approach seen in bribery cases, this situation required collaboration with external stakeholders to ensure compliance with local environmental regulations and cultural expectations.

The resolution process involved public disclosures, direct consultations with community leaders, and adherence to government directives. This approach not only aligned with collectivist values but also improved the company's reputation within the community. The participatory strategy demonstrated the benefits of adapting corporate responses to the socio-cultural context, highlighting the value of inclusivity in ethical decision-making.

### **Case Study 3: Product Safety in Indonesia**

When a product safety issue arose, the organization adopted an inclusive approach that went beyond internal stakeholders. Community leaders were actively involved in discussions to ensure that the resolution process aligned with the cultural expectations of community well-being. This engagement reflected the collectivist orientation of Indonesian society, where community harmony and shared responsibility are highly valued. The collaborative resolution ensured that affected parties felt heard and supported, fostering trust and long-term relationships. The approach also mitigated potential backlash by demonstrating the company's commitment to the broader community. This case highlights the importance of community involvement in addressing ethical challenges in collectivist cultures.

### **Case Study 4: Gender Equality Initiative in Indonesia**

A gender equality initiative within an Indonesian subsidiary involved close collaboration between senior management and local staff. Recognizing the potential cultural sensitivities surrounding gender roles, the initiative was designed to align global corporate policies with local values, ensuring that changes were implemented in a culturally acceptable manner. Employees at all levels were encouraged to participate in workshops and discussions, fostering a sense of ownership and inclusivity. The initiative's success was attributed to its collaborative design, which respected local norms while promoting progressive policies. This case demonstrates how inclusive approaches can bridge the gap between global standards and local cultural practices.

### **Case Study 5: Data Privacy Compliance in Indonesia**

To address concerns about data privacy, a structured training program was implemented in compliance with Indonesian legal standards. The program emphasized adherence to global privacy laws while considering local cultural expectations. The initiative involved educating employees on data protection practices and aligning corporate policies with national norms. This dual approach ensured both legal compliance and cultural sensitivity, striking a balance between international requirements and local expectations. By incorporating localized training methods, the organization demonstrated its commitment to ethical practices and employee empowerment, reinforcing trust and accountability within its operations.

## **Emerging Patterns**

Across these cases, several recurring patterns were identified, specific to the Indonesian context. Organizations that adapted their decision-making frameworks to local cultural norms consistently achieved better ethical outcomes. Transparency remained a challenge in high power-distance environments, but engaging community leaders and external stakeholders mitigated some of these issues. Leadership styles played a pivotal role, with directive leadership dominating but gradually incorporating inclusive practices in specific initiatives.

Community engagement proved critical for fostering trust and credibility, particularly in collectivist cultures. Although employee participation was generally limited, targeted initiatives, such as gender equality programs, demonstrated the potential for more inclusive approaches. These findings provide valuable insights into how cultural dimensions influence ethical decision-making in Indonesia and set the stage for further discussion on theoretical and practical implications.

## **Discussion**

The findings of this study align with existing literature while also offering unique insights specific to the Indonesian context. For example, the centralized decision-making processes observed in high power-distance cultures, such as Indonesia, corroborate Hofstede's (1983) cultural dimensions theory, which emphasizes the hierarchical nature of such societies. However, this study extends Hofstede's framework by showing that hierarchical decision-making, while efficient, often compromises transparency, a concern less emphasized in prior studies.

The collectivist orientation identified in Indonesian organizational practices is consistent with the work of Triandis (1995), who noted that collectivist societies prioritize group harmony and consensus. This study reinforces that observation but adds nuance by demonstrating that consensus-driven approaches may delay decision-making, particularly in addressing urgent ethical dilemmas. These findings partially contrast with Trompenaars and Hampden-Turner's (1997) work, which suggested that collectivist cultures balance efficiency and inclusivity. In the Indonesian context, inclusivity often takes precedence, even at the expense of timeliness.

Previous studies, such as Vitell et al. (1993), highlighted the significant influence of cultural values on ethical judgments but primarily focused on Western settings. This study complements those findings by providing empirical evidence from a Southeast Asian context, showcasing how high power-distance and collectivist norms uniquely shape ethical decision-making. For instance, the reliance on senior management to resolve bribery cases in Indonesia mirrors findings by Svensson and Wood (2004), who noted similar trends in hierarchical cultures. However, this study

diverges by highlighting the role of external stakeholder engagement, a practice less emphasized in prior research.

The results also challenge some assumptions in Donaldson and Dunfee's (1999) integrative social contracts theory, which advocates for a balance between global ethical standards and local norms. While the theory assumes flexibility, this study reveals that rigid adherence to local norms, such as hierarchy, can sometimes undermine broader ethical principles like transparency and accountability.

### **Theoretical Implications**

The study contributes to the growing body of research on cultural dimensions and ethical decision-making by integrating these concepts within the specific socio-cultural landscape of Indonesia. Unlike previous works that predominantly generalize cultural influences, this research demonstrates how the interplay of power distance and collectivism manifests in real-world organizational practices. By doing so, it challenges the universality of some theoretical frameworks, such as Hofstede's dimensions, suggesting that additional variables, like community involvement, should be incorporated to address the unique dynamics of collectivist societies.

### **Practical Implications**

Practitioners can leverage these findings to refine ethical decision-making frameworks in multinational operations. For instance, understanding the tension between hierarchy and transparency in high power-distance cultures can help organizations design more effective communication strategies. Similarly, recognizing the value of community engagement in collectivist settings can enhance corporate social responsibility initiatives, ensuring alignment with local expectations while upholding global standards.

### **Limitations of the Study**

Despite its contributions, the study has limitations that must be acknowledged. The focus on Indonesia limits the generalizability of the findings, though they provide a rich understanding of one high power-distance, collectivist culture. Furthermore, while the study incorporates case studies, the absence of longitudinal data restricts the ability to assess how cultural dimensions evolve over time. Future research could address these limitations by including comparative studies across multiple cultures and employing longitudinal methodologies.

### **CONCLUSION**

This study provides a comprehensive analysis of how cultural dimensions influence ethical decision-making processes in multinational corporations operating in Indonesia. By examining

specific cases and integrating theoretical frameworks, the research highlights the critical role of power distance and collectivism in shaping organizational ethics. The findings reveal that high power-distance norms often centralize decision-making, which, while efficient, can undermine transparency and broader accountability. Conversely, collectivist values foster inclusivity and community engagement but may delay the resolution of urgent ethical dilemmas.

The study's results align with existing theories, such as Hofstede's cultural dimensions and Triandis's collectivism framework, while offering new insights into their practical applications. For example, the role of community leaders in ethical decision-making emerged as a key factor in Indonesia, expanding the discourse on how external stakeholders influence corporate ethics. Additionally, the findings challenge some aspects of universal ethical frameworks by emphasizing the need for cultural adaptation in global operations.

Practically, this research underscores the importance of culturally adaptive ethical frameworks, cross-cultural training, and inclusive leadership strategies for multinational corporations. By balancing global standards with local cultural sensitivities, organizations can navigate complex ethical dilemmas more effectively, fostering trust and accountability in their operations.

## REFERENSI

- Abdelmoety, Z. H., Aboul-Dahab, S., & Agag, G. (2022). A cross cultural investigation of retailers commitment to CSR and customer citizenship behaviour: The role of ethical standard and value relevance. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 64, 102796. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2021.102796>
- Ahmad, B., Iqbal, S., Hai, M., & Latif, S. (2022). The interplay of personal values, relational mobile usage and organizational citizenship behavior. *Interactive Technology and Smart Education*, 19(2), 260–280. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ITSE-01-2021-0016>
- Al Halbusi, H., Williams, K. A., Ramayah, T., Aldieri, L., & Vinci, C. P. (2021). Linking ethical leadership and ethical climate to employees' ethical behavior: the moderating role of person–organization fit. *Personnel Review*, 50(1), 159–185. <https://doi.org/10.1108/PR-09-2019-0522>
- Alkhadra, W. A., Khawaldeh, S., & Aldehayyat, J. (2023). Relationship of ethical leadership, organizational culture, corporate social responsibility and organizational performance: a test of two mediation models. *International Journal of Ethics and Systems*, 39(4), 737–760. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOES-05-2022-0092>
- Amundsen, D., & Msoroka, M. (2021). Responsive Ethics: navigating the fluid research space between HREC ethics, researcher ethics and participant ethics. *Educational Review*, 73(5), 563–579. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131911.2019.1655392>
- Arsawan, I. W. E., Koval, V., Rajjani, I., Rustiarini, N. W., Supartha, W. G., & Suryantini, N. P. S. (2022). Leveraging knowledge sharing and innovation culture into SMEs sustainable

- competitive advantage. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 71(2), 405–428. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPPM-04-2020-0192>
- Babri, M., Davidson, B., & Helin, S. (2021). An Updated Inquiry into the Study of Corporate Codes of Ethics: 2005–2016. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 168, 71–108. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-019-04192-x>
- Bani Ahmad, A. Y. A. (2024). Ethical implications of artificial intelligence in accounting: A framework for responsible ai adoption in multinational corporations in Jordan. *International Journal of Data and Network Science*, 8(1), 401–414. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.ijdns.2023.9.014>
- Böhm, S., Carrington, M., Cornelius, N., de Bruin, B., Greenwood, M., Hassan, L., Jain, T., Karam, C., Kourula, A., Romani, L., Riaz, S., & Shaw, D. (2022). Ethics at the Centre of Global and Local Challenges: Thoughts on the Future of Business Ethics. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 180(3), 835–861. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-022-05239-2>
- Brammer, S., Nardella, G., & Surdu, I. (2021). Defining and deterring corporate social irresponsibility: embracing the institutional complexity of international business. *Multinational Business Review*, 29(3), 301–320. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MBR-02-2021-0011>
- Busch, T., Barnett, M. L., Burritt, R. L., Cashore, B. W., Freeman, R. E., Henriques, I., Husted, B. W., Panwar, R., Pinkse, J., Schaltegger, S., & York, J. (2024). Moving beyond “the” business case: How to make corporate sustainability work. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 33, 776–787. <https://doi.org/10.1002/BSE.3514>
- Chan, C., Ananthram, S., Thaker, K., & Liu, Y. (2022). Do religiosity and ethical principles influence ethical decision-making in a multi-faith context? Evidence from India. *Journal of Business Research*, 149, 772–785. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.05.065>
- Cheng, H., Agbanyo, G. K., Zhu, T., & Pan, H. H. (2022). Internationalization of Multinational Companies and Cognitive Differences Across Cultures: A Neuroeconomic Perspective. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 807582. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.807582>
- Cheng, J., Iqbal, Q., Ji, G., & Li, W. (2022). A Sustainable and Comprehensive Framework for Knowledge Transfer in MNCs: An Empirical Examination Based on Country, Company and Individual Levels of Chinese MNCs. *Sustainability*, 14(21), 14074. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su142114074>
- Daradkeh, M. (2023). Navigating the Complexity of Entrepreneurial Ethics: A Systematic Review and Future Research Agenda. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 15(14), 11099. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su151411099>
- DeTienne, K. B., Ellertson, C. F., Ingerson, M. C., & Dudley, W. R. (2021). Moral Development in Business Ethics: An Examination and Critique. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 170, 429–448. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-019-04351-0>

- Di Santo, D., Talamo, A., Bonaiuto, F., Cabras, C., & Pierro, A. (2021). A Multilevel Analysis of the Impact of Unit Tightness vs. Looseness Culture on Attitudes and Behaviors in the Workplace. *Frontiers in Psychology, 12*, 652068. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.652068>
- Du, Y., He, H., & Chu, Z. (2024). Cross-cultural nuances in sarcasm comprehension: a comparative study of Chinese and American perspectives. *Frontiers in Psychology, 15*, 1349002. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1349002>
- Hong, W., Chan, F. K. Y., & Thong, J. Y. L. (2021). Drivers and Inhibitors of Internet Privacy Concern: A Multidimensional Development Theory Perspective. *Journal of Business Ethics, 168*, 539–564. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-019-04237-1>
- Houdek, P. (2023). The deep roots of cross-cultural differences in organizational behavior: Do human resource management education has to respect them? *The International Journal of Management Education, 21*(3), 100876. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2023.100876>
- Islam, M. A., Deegan, C., & Haque, S. (2021). Corporate human rights performance and moral power: A study of retail MNCs' supply chains in Bangladesh. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting, 74*, 102163. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpa.2020.102163>
- Jedličková, A. (2024). Ethical approaches in designing autonomous and intelligent systems: a comprehensive survey towards responsible development. *AI and Society*, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-024-02040-9>
- Kapoor, H., Ticku, A., Tagat, A., & Karandikar, S. (2021). Innovation in Isolation? COVID-19 Lockdown Stringency and Culture-Innovation Relationships. *Frontiers in Psychology, 12*, 593359. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.593359>
- Lartey, T. A., Amankwah-Amoah, J., Danso, A., Adomako, S., Khan, Z., & Tarba, S. Y. (2021). Environmental sustainability practices and offshoring activities of multinational corporations across emerging and developed markets. *International Business Review, 30*(5), 101789. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibusrev.2020.101789>
- Martínez, C., Skeet, A. G., & Sasia, P. M. (2021). Managing organizational ethics: How ethics becomes pervasive within organizations. *Business Horizons, 64*(1), 83–92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2020.09.008>
- Mökander, J., Morley, J., Taddeo, M., & Floridi, L. (2021). Ethics-Based Auditing of Automated Decision-Making Systems: Nature, Scope, and Limitations. *Science and Engineering Ethics, 27*(44), 1–30. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11948-021-00319-4>
- Naamati-Schneider, L., Arazi-Fadlon, M., & Daphna-Tekoah, S. (2024). Navigating moral and ethical dilemmas in digital transformation processes within healthcare organizations. *Sage Journals, 10*, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1177/20552076241260416>
- Or, M. H., & Berkovich, I. (2021). Participative decision making in schools in individualist and collectivist cultures: The micro-politics behind distributed leadership. *Sage Journals, 51*(3), 533–553. <https://doi.org/10.1177/17411432211001364>

- Osobajo, O. A., Oke, A., Ajimmy, M., Otitoju, A., & Adeyanju, G. C. (2023). The role of culture in stakeholder engagement: Its implication for open innovation. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 9(2), 100058. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joitmc.2023.100058>
- Peng, X., Li, J., Tang, Q., Lan, Y. C., & Cui, X. (2024). Do environmental scores become multinational corporations' strategic "greenwashing" tool for window-dressing carbon reduction? A cross-cultural analysis. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 33(3), 2084–2115. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.3586>
- Pless, N. M., Sengupta, A., Wheeler, M. A., & Maak, T. (2022). Responsible Leadership and the Reflective CEO: Resolving Stakeholder Conflict by Imagining What Could be done. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 180, 313–337. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-021-04865-6>
- Rajagopal, N. K., Qureshi, N. I., Durga, S., Ramirez Asis, E. H., Huerta Soto, R. M., Gupta, S. K., & Deepak, S. (2022). Future of Business Culture: An Artificial Intelligence-Driven Digital Framework for Organization Decision-Making Process. *Complexity*, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/7796507>
- Rhim, J., Lee, J. H., Chen, M., & Lim, A. (2021). A Deeper Look at Autonomous Vehicle Ethics: An Integrative Ethical Decision-Making Framework to Explain Moral Pluralism. *Frontiers in Robotics and AI*, 8, 632394. <https://doi.org/10.3389/frobt.2021.632394>
- Rodgers, W., Murray, J. M., Stefanidis, A., Degbey, W. Y., & Tarba, S. Y. (2023). An artificial intelligence algorithmic approach to ethical decision-making in human resource management processes. *Human Resource Management Review*, 33(1), 100925. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2022.100925>
- Sarma, G. D., Choudhury, Dr. S., Bharadwaj, P., & Sarma, M. (2024). Navigating Corporate Governance And Ethics: The Cornerstones Of Sustainable Business Practices. *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, 30(5), 5442–5454. <https://doi.org/10.53555/kuey.v30i5.2889>
- Skare, M., & Riberio Soriano, D. (2021). How globalization is changing digital technology adoption: An international perspective. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, 6(4), 222–233. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2021.04.001>
- Smith, A. E., Zlatevska, N., Chowdhury, R. M. M. I., & Belli, A. (2023). A Meta-Analytical Assessment of the Effect of Deontological Evaluations and Teleological Evaluations on Ethical Judgments/Intentions. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 188, 553–588. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S10551-022-05311-X/FIGURES/2>
- Ullah, S., Adams, K., Adams, D., & Attah-Boakye, R. (2021). Multinational corporations and human rights violations in emerging economies: Does commitment to social and environmental responsibility matter? *Journal of Environmental Management*, 280, 111689. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.111689>

- Xing, Y., & Jin, C. H. (2023). The Impact of Cultural Values on Attitude Formation toward Cultural Products: Mediating Effects of Country Image. *MDPI*, *15*(14), 11172. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su151411172>
- Yahiaoui, D., Nakhle, S. F., & Farndale, E. (2021). Culture and performance appraisal in multinational enterprises: Implementing French headquarters' practices in Middle East and North Africa subsidiaries. *Human Resource Management*, *60*(5), 771–785. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hrm.22063>
- Yan, H., Hu, X., & Wu, C. H. (2021). When and how can organizational punishment stop unethical pro-organizational behaviors in hospitality? *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, *94*, 102811. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2020.102811>
- Zanon, L. G., Marcelloni, F., Gerolamo, M. C., & Ribeiro Carpinetti, L. C. (2021). Exploring the relations between supply chain performance and organizational culture: A fuzzy grey group decision model. *International Journal of Production Economics*, *233*, 108023. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2020.108023>
- Zhang, H., Wu, J., Wen, J., & Douglas, D. (2023). Ethical leadership in multinational companies' control practices: culture as a moderating factor. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, *31*(6), 2183–2208. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijoa-10-2021-2998>
- Zhao, S., Liu, X., Andersson, U., & Shenkar, O. (2022). Knowledge management of emerging economy multinationals. *Journal of World Business*, *57*(1), 101255. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwb.2021.101255>
- Zugravu-Soilita, N., Kafrouni, R., Bouard, S., & Apithy, L. (2021). Do cultural capital and social capital matter for economic performance? An empirical investigation of tribal agriculture in New Caledonia. *Ecological Economics*, *182*, 106933. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2020.106933>